

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,388	07/29/2002	Ronald Holzwarth	D3299-00016	3754	
75	90 03/09/2004		EXAMINER		
Darius C Gambino			AL NAZER, LEITH A		
Duane Morris & One Liberty Pla			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Philadelphia, P.			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$-\infty$		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	20		
Advisory Action	09/936,388	HOLZWARTH ET A	L.		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leith A Al-Nazer	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict ich places the application.	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY (check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe attention under 37 CFR 1.1 is sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or of	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	implifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	i amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NC	T place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · —	· —	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement/s)/ PTO-1449) Paper No/s)					
10. Other: SPEN 21					
		SPB	28 28		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/936,38809/936,3889/936

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has corrected the claims to overcome the claim objections relating to the issue of multiple depedent claims. However, the prior art still reads on the claims. Claims 33 and 34 recite independent method claims. Both claims recite "producing light pulses..." and "subjecting said light pulses to a compensation of the group velocity dispersion". However, no structural limitations are provided in order to support producing the light pulse or of subjecting the light pulses to a compensation of the group velocity dispersion. Claims 35 and 36 recite independent apparatus claims. Both claims recite a "plurality of resonator mirrors with an incoupling mirror...an outcoupling mirror...and several tilted mirrors". The claims recite the elements in category without any relationships in order to conform the resonator mirrors. Claim 35 later refers to "the resonator configuration". However, as Examiner has pointed out, the "resonator configuration" was not clearly described at the beginning of the claim..